How do female lifecourses affect income in retirement?

The Wellbeing, Health, Retirement and the Lifecourse project (WHERL)

This research project investigates ageing, work and health across the lifecourse. This 3 year interdisciplinary consortium is funded by the cross-research council Lifelong Health and Wellbeing (LLHW) programme under the Extending Working Lives initiative. It examines a crucial question for ageing societies: how inequalities across the lifecourse relate to paid work in later life in the UK.

This issue is of growing importance since the UK, in common with many other governments across the world, is implementing policies to encourage longer working lives, including the postponement of State Pension age (SPa). These policy reforms affect millions of people, yet their implications for health and wellbeing are unknown. Do these policies harm, benefit or have little effect on the population? To answer this, we need to understand the lifelong drivers affecting the complex relationship between paid work in later life, health and wellbeing.

The project builds on an existing UK-Canadian collaboration examining lifecourse influences on later life work trajectories across several European countries and the US. In addition to those at the Institute of Gerontology at King’s College London, the consortium brings together a unique interdisciplinary team involving universities and partner organisations including the Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto; Research Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London; Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience also at King’s College London; Manchester University; Pensions Policy Institute; Age UK; and the Department for Work and Pensions.

Using a wide variety of complex large-scale datasets, our interdisciplinary team is tackling projects that cover three major areas:

1. a comprehensive assessment of lifecourse determinants and consequences for health and wellbeing of working up to and beyond SPa;
2. an evaluation of whether (and how) these relationships have changed for different cohorts and over time; and
3. modelling of the financial consequences of working up to and beyond SPa for those with different lifecourse trajectories.


WHERL is an interdisciplinary consortium funded by the cross research Council Lifelong Health and Wellbeing (LHW) programme under Extending Working Lives (ES/LS002825/1).
Introduction
This Briefing Note examines the influence of various lifecourses on income in retirement.

The primary focus of this note is to consider how women’s retirement income is affected by motherhood. This includes the impact of taking time out of work to care for children, as well as the implications of the Motherhood Penalty, which is the observation that mothers tend to have reduced incomes relative to women without children.

Summary of results
- Taking breaks to care for children can reduce retirement income.
- Women with more children tend to take longer breaks which can lead to a larger impact on retirement income.
- People who take time out to care for children may do better under the new State Pension than they would have done under the pre 2016 pension system.
- The relative impact of motherhood may be greater for higher paid women, since a lower proportion of their retirement income comes from the State Pension.
- Working longer could help mitigate some of the impact, but some people may have difficulty working after SPa.

Lifecourses
The analysis in this note is based on a large number of runs from the PPI’s Individual Model, using lifecourse information derived by the Institute of Gerontology at King’s College London from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA).

The lifecourses contain the working patterns and life events of particular types of individuals. For example, working full-time throughout, not participating in paid work throughout, taking time off to have a family, and leaving the workforce before SPa.

Lifecourse construction
The lifecourses were derived by the Institute of Gerontology at King’s College London and are representative of people currently aged 50 or older in England.

The female lifecourses (Table 1) derived by the Institute of Gerontology include:
- Mostly working full-time throughout, under which individuals are characterised by ongoing paid employment and pension contributions from about ages 16 to 59.
- Mostly non-employed throughout, where individuals are largely not in paid work up to age 59, therefore

Table 1: The work-based lifecourses for women used in the modelling for this note

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Female lifecourses</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mostly working full-time throughout</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mostly non-employed throughout</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak attachment, early exit</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family carer to part-time (long break: 16 yrs)</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family carer to part-time (short break: 4 yrs)</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family carer to full-time (10 yr break)</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time to part-time (at age 23)</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
not making any pension contributions to a workplace scheme.

- **Weak attachment, early exit**, characterised by individuals who are in full-time work until about age 22, followed by a period not in paid work from about ages 23 to 33, part-time from ages 34 to 47, and then early exit at about age 48. This lifecourse was not used in the results presented in this note.

- **Family carer to part-time (long break)**, under which individuals are characterised by a long break from about ages 26 to 41, and in part-time work from about ages 42 to 59.

- **Family carer to part-time (short break)**, these individuals are characterised by a short break for family care from about ages 26 to 29, followed by part-time work from about ages 30 to 59.

- **Family carer to full-time**, individuals in this group are characterised by a medium break from about ages 26 to 34, followed by full-time work from about ages 35 to 59.

- **Full-time to part-time**, mostly part-time, where individuals are largely in part-time work from about ages 23 to 59.

The new State Pension benefits some groups more than others

The people included in the creation of the lifecourses have State Pension ages that span the current changes in State Pension reform and SPa increases.

Women aged 65 in 2016 retired under the previous State Pension system, which was in effect until April 2016. Under that system the state pension is made up of two components; the basic State Pension and the earnings linked Additional State Pension. These were replaced in April 2016 with the single flat rate “new State Pension”.

The new State Pension is set at a rate higher than the basic State Pension. Some people have built up Additional State Pension that would have made their total State Pension more than the full level of the new State Pension. These people receive a new State Pension at the full rate along with a protected payment on top.

**The retirement system people retired under can affect their retirement income**

**Chart 1: weekly post retirement income at age 66 for women earning at the median level under who are currently aged 55, 60 and 65 (£ per week, in current earnings terms)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age 55</th>
<th>Age 60</th>
<th>Age 65</th>
<th>Pre 2016 State Pension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New State Pension</td>
<td>State Pen</td>
<td>Priv Pen</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£168</td>
<td>£162</td>
<td>£174</td>
<td>£159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£168</td>
<td>£162</td>
<td>£174</td>
<td>£159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£168</td>
<td>£162</td>
<td>£174</td>
<td>£159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£168</td>
<td>£162</td>
<td>£174</td>
<td>£159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explanation of income charts**

The charts in this briefing note show the amount of State Pension, Private Pension and other benefits (primarily winter fuel payment and Christmas bonus) as stacked bars of weekly income before tax.

In order to show figures after tax, the bars are offset at the bottom by the amount of tax paid. The top of the stacked bar charts then reaches the net income level, which is also labelled on top of each bar.
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Pension than they would have had under the previous system. This is because the pension they receive under the new State Pension is likely to be greater than the total basic State Pension and Additional State Pension they would have received under the pre 2016 State Pension system.

Low earners, part-time workers and those who took career breaks may be more likely to have built up low levels of Additional State Pension, and therefore have a higher retirement income under the new State Pension.

Economic conditions impact private pension levels
Chart 1 also shows that 65 year olds tend to have a lower private pension than the younger pensioners. This stems from the fact that they were working through a period of high inflation in the 1970s. At the start of the 1970s wages were much lower than by the end of the 1970s, so people who worked through the 1970s were making contributions on significantly lower salaries than those who started work in the 1980s.

Taking time out has a larger impact on private pensions than on State Pension
People who take time out of work to raise children have a reduced opportunity to save into a pension scheme. This can affect the amount of income received in retirement. The data underlying the lifecourses shows that such childcare breaks are predominantly taken by women.

Chart 2 shows projected outcomes in retirement income for women, currently aged 50, who have been earning at the median earnings pattern for women throughout working lives that were punctuated by childcare.

Women who take time out of work tend to have a reduced retirement income as a result of missing years of saving.

The higher State Pension of the women who worked throughout is a result of having built up an entitlement to Additional State Pension under the pre 2016 State Pension system. The Additional State Pension took their total State Pension entitlement above the level of the new State Pension, meaning that they are entitled to a protected payment in excess of the level of the new State Pension. Women who took career breaks accrued less Additional State Pension, so they are not entitled to a protected payment on top of their new State Pension.

The Motherhood Penalty reduces private pensions
Academic research has observed that mothers tend to have reduced incomes relative to the general population; this is referred to as the Motherhood Penalty. Causes of the Motherhood Penalty include a lack of investment in training and development of mothers by employers, or mothers taking flexible employment that is considered more conducive to family life. This can have an impact on their opportunities to save for retirement.

There are a number of academic papers examining the Motherhood Penalty and estimating its size in various countries. For the purposes of this note the Mother-
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The motherhood penalty may reduce private pensions of full time working mothers

Chart 3: weekly post retirement income for median earning man and women under various scenarios of caring for children (£ per week)

### Higher earners receive higher private and State Pensions

The results presented so far have been for median earners. However, the impact of lifecourses varies for earners at different earnings levels. Chart 4 compares the impact of lifecourses on women earning at the 30th percentile of female earnings, and women earning at the 70th percentile.

Lower paid women receive a higher proportion of their post retirement income from the State Pension. The State Pension does not decrease for these individuals so the lifecourses affect only the private pension income for a low earner.

For a high earner, the private pension makes up a bigger proportion of their retirement income than for lower earners, and State Pension does decrease under certain lifecourses. This means that there is likely to be a greater impact of motherhood on higher earners than on lower earners.

Older higher earners currently have greater State Pension than lower earners. This is as a result of the protected amount arising from the Additional State Pension. In time this will reduce as...
people retire having built up lower levels of Additional State Pension under the pre 2016 system.

Working longer can improve outcomes
In order to mitigate the reduced retirement income resulting from motherhood, it may be possible for some individuals to work beyond their SPAs. This would affect their private pension only.

Individuals are also able to delay taking the State Pension, and increase the resulting State Pension income through deferment enhancements.

The analysis in this note assumes that State Pension is paid at SPAs. There are three main factors at work:

- Working longer provides income from earnings.
- Delaying retirement gives more time to save.
- Delaying retirement gives better terms on retirement products.

Working longer provides an income from earnings. Earnings from employment are likely to be larger than the income otherwise available from their private pension.

Working longer while continuing to save in a pension scheme increases the amount of contributions made into the pension scheme. The pension scheme also receives further years of investment return. Assuming investment returns over the period are positive, the extra contributions and investment period will lead to an increase in the pension fund.

Delaying taking the private pension enables the individual to get better terms on their retirement product. This is because the product which provides an income for the rest of their life would be expected to pay out over fewer years for an older person than a younger person.

Table 2 sets out the impact of working beyond SPAs for a median earning woman who took a career break to raise 2 children then returned to work full time under scenarios of caring for children (£ per week).

Table 2: weekly post retirement income for a median earning woman who took a career break to raise 2 children then returned to work full time under scenarios of caring for children (£ per week)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>At SPA</th>
<th>1 yr more work</th>
<th>2 yrs more work</th>
<th>5 yrs more work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Priv Pen</td>
<td>Earn</td>
<td>Tot Inc</td>
<td>Priv Pen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>£66</td>
<td>£227</td>
<td>£293</td>
<td>£64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>£63</td>
<td>£225</td>
<td>£288</td>
<td>£62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>£61</td>
<td>£224</td>
<td>£285</td>
<td>£61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>£58</td>
<td>£222</td>
<td>£270</td>
<td>£60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>£55</td>
<td>£220</td>
<td>£265</td>
<td>£59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>£53</td>
<td>£219</td>
<td>£262</td>
<td>£57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The relative impact of lifecourses may be greater for higher paid women, where a higher proportion of their retirement income comes from the private pension which is likely to be affected to a greater extent.

Working longer can help mitigate some of the impact, but some people may have difficulty working continuing in paid work after retirement age.

For more information on this topic, please contact
John Adams, PPI Senior Policy Analyst
020 7848 3675 john@pensionspolicyinstitute.org.uk
www.pensionspolicyinstitute.org.uk

Further WHERL briefing notes
Future briefing notes from the PPI under the WHERL project will illustrate further impacts arising from the lifecourse work of our WHERL colleagues.