1. Introducing the Cognitive Science of Religion to the Study of Esotericism

In tandem with the professionalization of research on esotericism over the past two decades, another sub-discipline has risen to prominence within the study of religion: the cognitive science of religion (CSR).\(^1\) Both of these fields, CSR and the study of esotericism, have made significant impact on how we study religion. Research on esotericism, as *Aries* readers well know, has deepened our understanding of the historical complexities of religion and its others in the West (the European countries and their spheres of influence), identifying blind spots relating to heterodox religion, radically experiential practices, and overlaps between “religion”, “magic”, and “science” that may look curious with the hindsight of history. Meanwhile, CSR is changing the way scholars think about and approach key aspects of religious thought and practice while adding new experimental and analytical tools to the scholar’s toolbox, by grounding the study of religion in our best current theories of how the human mind works. This special issue is the first collaborative attempt to date at exploring the potential of bringing these two innovative fields together.\(^2\)

Two questions motivate this endeavour. First, what can CSR approaches add to the study of empirical material from the field of esotericism? Secondly, and conversely, can key problems in the study of esotericism, such as the notion of experiential gnosis, correspondence thinking, the role of imagination, and the use of esoteric hermeneutical strategies applied to obscure texts contribute to the development of CSR approaches?

Our main ambition, however, is to introduce CSR to readers of *Aries* and to demonstrate that CSR’s style of approaching research questions can be useful to scholars working with historical sources. One challenge is that CSR has primarily been
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1. For a fairly up to date overview of the CSR field, see Pyysiäinen, ‘Cognitive Science of Religion’.
developed by anthropologists and psychologists, with attempts at building a cognitive historiography of religion being of more recent vintage. Moreover, there are many examples of researchers in disciplines like cognitive anthropology, psychology, and linguistics doing useful work on religion without situating themselves within CSR in the narrow sense. Our authors have therefore been instructed to introduce the key concepts and theories they use in an accessible manner that makes different research traditions visible to readers, and, more importantly, to highlight what their adopted perspective allows historians of esotericism to do that they are not doing already.

Before we introduce the topics that these articles raise, it might be useful to first address a more basic question: What is CSR, anyway? What are its goals, which methods does it favour, and what are its theoretical assumptions?

The basic assumption of CSR is that religion cannot be understood in historical and social terms alone, but must be grounded in the ultimately biological constraints that apply to all of human thought and behaviour. Classic areas of inquiry such as religious experience, the persistence of religious beliefs, and the cross-cultural ubiquity of ritualisation can only be explained if historical and sociological models are complemented by, and rooted in, what we know about human cognition. CSR is therefore an interdisciplinary endeavour that seeks to address questions originating in the anthropology, sociology, and history of religion from the perspective of what is collectively known as the cognitive sciences.

Cognitive science is itself a complex research tradition, spanning psychology (especially evolutionary, developmental, and social psychology), linguistics, anthropology, neuroscience, artificial intelligence, robotics, and the philosophy of mind. Among these streams, CSR has been particularly rooted in evolutionary psychology, starting from the assumption that the mind, like other aspects of the human organism, is the product of evolution by natural selection. Researchers typically assume the
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2 Examples include Tanya Luhrmann (anthropology, e.g., *When God Talks Back*), Miguel Farias and Pehr Granqvist (psychology; e.g., ‘The Psychology of the New Age’), and Zoltán Kövecses (linguistics; e.g., ‘The Biblical Story Retold’).

3 On the historical development of this interdisciplinary research tradition, see Müller, ‘The Cognitive Revolution’.

4 See especially Barkow, Cosmides, and Tooby (eds.), *The Adapted Mind*; Carruthers, Laurence, and Stich (eds.), *The Innate Mind*. 
“massive modularity thesis”, which holds that the mind does not function as a neatly unified, general-purpose CPU, but consists of a hotchpotch of individual mental mechanisms (“modules”) that have been selected over the course of evolutionary history for their ability to solve some specific problem sufficiently well to provide an evolutionary advantage. The best examples of mental adaptations are found in relation to perception, notably the ability to detect agents in our environment (agent detection), or the ability to spot, identify, and analyse faces (face recognition). Our evolved tendency to over-attribute agency, facial patterns, and other forms of structure to the world around us is at the basis of several influential theories in CSR. Examples include Stewart Guthrie’s theory about religion’s basis in anthropomorphism, and the theory that a “hyperactive agent detection device” (HADD) makes humans (and possibly other species as well) prone to postulate invisible agents as explanations for events, which may become the basis for secondary elaborations in the form of religions. Another mental adaptation that has been central to CSR is our ability to explain other people’s behaviours by attributing intentions and mental states, the so-called Theory of Mind module (ToMM). Research on the ToMM has, for example, been central for cognitive studies of prayer practices (where practitioners attempt to manipulate the mental states of invisible agents), and there is evidence that people suffering from ToMM deficits, such as in certain autism spectrum disorders, are less religious and, when they are religious, are more likely to view the divine in impersonal, non-psychologistic ways.

The modularity thesis has primarily led researchers to formulate hypotheses about religious representations, attempting, for example, to explain why god concepts are so similar in certain respects across cultures (e.g., the "minimally counterintuitive agents" thesis), or why believers tend to deploy slightly different concepts in everyday practice than what their official theologies say they ought to believe (i.e., the notion of "theological incorrectness"). Research on memory is particularly important here, as researchers make and test hypotheses about how certain kinds of representations
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7 For a popular exposition, see Pinker, The Blank Slate.
8 See Guthrie, ’A Cognitive Theory of Religion’; idem, Faces in the Clouds.
9 Guthrie, ’Animal Animism’.
10 E.g., Barrett, Why Would Anyone Believe in God?
11 E.g., Norenzayan, Gervais, and Trzesniewski, ’Mentalizing Deficits Constrain Belief in a Personal God’.
12 See Boyer, Religion Explained; Barrett, ’Coding and Quantifying Counterintuitiveness in Religious Concepts’; Norenzayan et al., ’Memory and Mystery’. For a recent critical assessment of some of this research, see Purzycki and Willard, ’MCI Theory’.
13 See Slone, Theological Incorrectness.
(beliefs, narratives, concepts) spread in a population, constrained by how easily they are stored and how faithfully they are transmitted – a line of research that Dan Sperber has called the "epidemiology of representations".  

Human memory is not, however, a monolithic faculty, but typically sub-divided into semantic, episodic, and working memory. The anthropologist Harvey Whitehouse used this distinction to develop a theory about how difficult-to-process, "cognitively costly" religious concepts can be sustained in a population. His "two modes theory" holds that religious traditions tend to develop one of two different types of social systems and ritual cultures that rely either on episodic memory or on semantic memory for stabilising worldviews in the minds of their adherents.  

The "imagistic mode" is based on episodic memory and is associated with emotionally arousing, but relatively infrequent rituals and small-scale organisations. The "doctrinal mode", by contrast, is based on memorising semantic content – a strategy that goes with frequent and repetitive rituals, a strict social organisation, and frequent checks on adherents’ beliefs.

While studies grounded in evolutionary cognitive psychology constitute the mainstream in CSR, another, smaller stream, that is of particular relevance for historians, builds on cognitive linguistics and semiotics. Classic studies on how metaphors are grounded in embodied cognition have been particularly central to this stream, as has the more recent theory of “conceptual blending”. Historians of religions have adopted these theories to solve problems about, e.g., how to ground comparisons between different traditions, how to understand the cognitive foundations of "magical” acts, or to explain how “fiction-based religions” selectively draw on and blend elements from various sources in order to invent new traditions. It has also influenced some work on ancient esotericism, most notably Hugo Lundhaug’s and April DeConick’s work on Gnosticism.

More recently, neurocognitive research has started to make an impact on CSR, incorporating experimental methods that employ physiological measurement techniques such as EEG, fMRI, and eye-tracking, sometimes combined with interviews,
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14 Sperber, Explaining Culture.
15 Whitehouse, Modes of Religiosity.
16 E.g. Lakoff and Johnson, Metaphors We Live By.
17 Fauconnier and Turner, The Way We Think.
18 Singerland, ‘Conceptual Metaphor Theory as Methodology for Comparative Religion’.
19 Sørensen, A Cognitive Theory of Magic.
20 Davidsen, The Spiritual Tolkien Milieu.
21 Lundhaug, Images of Rebirth; see DeConick, this issue.
field studies, and psychological scales. This promising line of “mixed methods” research is currently expanding the range of phenomena studied, and challenging some of the older research. The new experimentalism has, for example, enabled researchers to look at previously understudied phenomena, such as the relationship between religious practices and subjectively persuasive experiences. Tanya Luhrmann’s team, for example, mixed experiments with fieldwork and interviews to uncover that Evangelical Christians who cultivate emotions and mental imagery in their prayer practices are more likely than their co-religionists to experience hearing the voice of God in response and reporting other unusual experiences. Other experimentalists have picked up the recent neurocognitive theory of “predictive coding” (which holds that perceptions are produced in a two-way guessing game where the brain attempts to predict the stimulus coming in from the senses – more on this later) and produced compelling new evidence that expectations are a driving factor in producing experiences of, for example, seeing deceased relatives, or sensing an invisible presence.

In sum: much like the study of esotericism has changed and renewed itself with a new generation of scholars following its professionalization in the 1990s, CSR is currently undergoing a massive expansion and transition that involves theoretical development, new methods, and an expansion of the types of phenomena being studied.

2. Is a “Cognitive Science of Esotericism” Possible?

What does all this research offer the study of esotericism? What would a “cognitive science of esotericism” look like? As one of us argued in a recent article, it is not, strictly speaking, possible: we cannot hope to find psychological and cognitive explanations that cover esotericism in toto, for the simple reason that “esotericism” is a highly heterogeneous category. Cognitive theorising must be grounded in the actual behaviours of individual human beings; it is not sufficient to speculate on the basis of entire “worldviews” or “cultural systems” alone. There must be a procedure for relating
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22 Note that neuroscientific approaches to religion already made a false start in the 1990s, associated with the problematic “neurotheology” of researchers such as Andrew Newberg and Eugene D’Aquili, and the widely publicised (but non-reproducible) “God helmet” experiments of Michael Persinger. For a review, see Schjoedt, ‘The Religious Brain’.  
23 The new vogue in the study of “experiences deemed religious” was sparked by Ann Taves, Religious Experience Reconsidered. See also Taves and Asprem, ‘Experience as Event’.  
24 Luhrmann, When God Talks Back.  
25 Andersen et al., ‘Mystical Experience in the Lab’.  
26 See Hanegraaff, ‘Textbooks and Introductions to Western Esotericism’.  
27 Asprem, ‘Reverse-Engineering “Esotericism”’.  

claims about the sociohistorical level with precise descriptions of behaviours, ideally in an observational setting that allows for some degree of control of the environment (i.e. experimentation). In the strict sense, this would seem to exclude traditional historical research altogether, so what can we do?

Saying that “esotericism” is a constructed category, that it has a problematic history, and that it covers a large set of very different practices and ideas does not mean that we cannot know anything about those individual practices, experiences, and beliefs that have been labelled “esoteric”. What we can do, then, is attempting to describe those practices in behavioural terms, and use those descriptions as a basis for theorising the practices in light of relevant research on similar behaviours. How far we can go with cognitive theorising will therefore largely depend on what sort of sources we have available. On the easy end of the spectrum, anything that involves texts can be usefully studied from the perspective of cognitive linguistics, as long as we can infer that the text has been produced by a human being using well-known cognitive mechanisms related to language processing. The more we know about the text’s mode of production (i.e. the specific behaviours involved), the events described, or the reference ambition of the author, the more we can say about it. If we have credible evidence that the text is the result of automatic writing, for example, or that it is taken on dictation from a channelling episode, additional theories can be brought in. In the absence of such credible evidence about the behavioural context, however, we must show restraint: it is little use, for example, to draw on fMRI studies of “apophatic experience” to shed light on apophatic discourse in gnostic or mystical literature where we know next to nothing about its material context. In these cases, we would be assuming too much on the basis of complex cultural concepts such as “mysticism”, “gnosis”, or even “altered states of consciousness”, which all lack a precise grounding in behavioural descriptions.

This process, of breaking deeply embedded concepts such as “esotericism” down into individual phenomena that can be rendered in behavioural terms, and using these to theorise about cognitive underpinnings and make comparisons with other phenomena, is what Asprem, following Taves, calls “reverse-engineering”.28 This procedure helps us see what ought to be obvious: that different aspects of the field will require different explanations with reference to different cognitive building blocks.
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Interpreting an esoteric text using special hermeneutical tools engages cognitive mechanisms that are different from those used when conjuring the elementals to visible appearance. We are not, in other words, seeking the “uniquely esoteric” in cognitive terms, that which binds it all together and separates it from everything non-esoteric. We can look at how an individual practice of using “correspondences” (say, in Ficinian medicinal magic) is made possible by panhuman mental capacities to blend concepts and transfer meaning between domains via metaphors and analogies, but we cannot, like Brian Vickers famously did, support the idea that all of the “occult sciences” are based in a uniform, pathological tendency to confuse analogy with identity. The primary contribution we can expect from adopting cognitive science approaches for studying esoteric subject matter, then, is to get a better understanding of particular mechanisms that are involved in particular practices.

3. Overview of the Special Issue
The three research articles in this special issue deal with separate problems and introduce different theoretical literatures.

In the first article, ‘Explaining the Esoteric Imagination: Towards a Theory of Kataphatic Practice’, Egil Asprem addresses the problem of how and why esoteric practitioners are able to produce apparently realistic experiences of hidden worlds by cultivating the imagination. The article introduces the “predictive coding” framework, a neurocognitive theory of perception that has recently gathered some interest in CSR, in order to help explain how the cultivation of the imagination in esoteric practices works. Predictive coding theory holds that the brain uses a probabilistic, inference-oriented strategy when processing sensory information: instead of “recording” the outside world like images in wax (to use a classic metaphor), the brain actively creates internal models that aim to predict future inputs based on previous inputs. What we perceive – whether in vision, sound, or taste – is based in large part on “top down” expectations. This is why, for example, bad singers often believe that they sing purely when they in fact sing false. In this case, the final percept (what the singers consciously hear), is so strongly influenced by the brain’s expectation (pure notes) that this expectation overrides actual sensory input (false notes). Asprem uses the predictive
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29 Asprem, ‘Explaining the Esoteric Imagination’. 
coding perspective to analyse practices for cultivating mental imagery in order to experience other worlds, focusing on “clairvoyance” and “astral travel” experiences in the context of Golden Dawn style ritual magic. After placing Golden Dawn exercises in a broader category of “kataphatic” (i.e. image-based) practices, he argues that magicians learn to “fly” on the astral plane through stages that involve the internalisation of new conceptual systems, new representations of body and self, and, importantly, new ways of shifting attention to specific (internal and external) sensory cues, often propped up by material artefacts and various physiological techniques. For example, Asprem shows how a central Golden Dawn visualisation practice likely relied on “afterimage” effects, and that the physiology of the human eye combined with the brain’s strategy for interpreting sense data from the retina therefore sheds new light on the order’s striking colour symbolism.

The second article, by Guðmundur Ingi Markússon, moves from experience to text, and from research on perception to research on language. In this article, entitled ‘Indices in the Dark: Towards a Cognitive Semiotics of Western Esotericism, Exemplified by Crowley’s Liber AL’, Markússon addresses the problem of why incomprehensible passages may sometimes be tolerated, even venerated, in religious and esoteric texts, when they tend to be avoided in regular communication.31 Using The Book of the Law, the founding document of Thelema, as a case study, the article asks why the “opacity” of an esoteric text sometimes seems to provide it with a selective advantage. Drawing on work in cognitive semiotics, especially Terrence Deacon’s cognitive reworking of C. S. Peirce’s semiotics, Markússon proposes what he calls ‘the relevant index effect’: When faced with an opaque text, whose importance and relevance is assumed beforehand, opaque features of the text (including The Book of the Law’s many cryptic statements, such as ‘The Khabs is in the Khu, not the Khu in the Khabs’) will no longer be processed as symbols (in Peirce’s sense), encoding some meaning to be deciphered, but as indexes of the text’s authority. This phenomenon, as Markússon notes, is not exclusive to esoteric or even religious contexts: We even see it when academic writers who produce particularly obscure prose gather followings that treat them as great gurus, judging meaningless obscurities as signs (indexes) of true genius.32
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31 Markússon, ‘Indice in the Dark’.
32 On this topic, see also Sperber, ‘The Guru Effect’.
In the third and last research article on 'Soul Flights: Cognitive Ratcheting and the Problem of Comparison', Gnosticism scholar April DeConick continues in the theoretical realm of cognitive linguistics, but turns to a very different problem and subject matter. How can we explain the commonalities among soul flight narratives in ancient Mediterranean literature? DeConick notes that, while the cosmological and theological details of soul flight narratives are highly divergent, the basic notion of perpendicular ascent and descent, along with the moral connotations of the directions (up and down), are remarkably consistent across a large body of texts spanning 1000 years of history (ca. 550 BCE – 500 CE). In order to explain this stability, DeConick turns to George Lakoff and Mark Johnson’s classic work on how language and meaning systems are structured through a set of basic metaphors grounded in embodied experience. DeConick assumes that embodied interactions with our environment give rise to “sensory-motor schemas” (often referred to as “image schemas”), which map out basic relations such as UP-DOWN, IN-OUT, and FRONT-BACK. From such spatial mappings general concepts like VERTICALITY and CONTAINMENT can be derived, which, in turn, may be used to structure other concepts – such as when we rank A and B on a continuum (A is higher than B), or when we conceptualise membership, participation, or scope (A contains B). DeConick focuses on how the UP-DOWN schema is used to structure relationships between a whole range of metaphysical, moral, and psychological concepts, from good vs. bad, to conscious vs. unconscious, and life vs. death. For example, while awake and conscious, we walk upright. Up therefore tends to be connected with AGENCY, which makes it “cognitively natural” that religious cosmologies see “god” as UP, and place his abode in “heaven”. Since the soul stands for agency and consciousness, it is also “cognitively natural” to assume that the soul is UP, in the sense that it originates from UP/“the Heavens” and returns there when the body dies and goes DOWN (literally into the earth; symbolically into the underworld). DeConick moves on to discuss the process through which the cognitively natural basic narrative of soul flight can be developed into culturally divergent and theologically complex narratives. She proposes a mechanism of “cognitive ratcheting” to explain how such complex narratives evolve and stabilise, by hooking on to embodied cognitive schemas.

4. The Way Ahead
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33 DeConick, 'Soul Flights'.
The issue concludes with a response article authored by Jesper Sørensen\[^{34}\] – who has not only been involved with important developments in CSR over the past decade, but is also one of few people who has applied CSR to subject matter of interest to esotericism scholars.\[^{35}\] If the research articles have succeeded in introducing some cognitive perspectives and theoretical tools into the study of esotericism, Sørensen’s comments provide the basis for a real dialogue with the field of CSR.

Finally, it is worth reflecting on the limitations of the studies presented here, and the road ahead. We wish to highlight two types of limitations. The first limitation regards scope: the articles in this thematic issue deal with three aspects of esotericism: beliefs and narratives (DeConick), transmission and interpretation of texts (Markússon), and experiential practices (Asprem). While this is a good scope under the circumstances, other important themes that are missing include ritual, initiation, and social organisation. These are important aspects of esotericism, where CSR offers valuable tools that have not been discussed in detail in this issue. It seems likely, however, that studies of ritualisation, as well as studies of initiation, could benefit from engaging with the ritual form hypothesis,\[^{36}\] the two modes theory,\[^{37}\] or the cognitive resource depletion theory.\[^{38}\] Such studies should also bear on the social aspects of esoteric groups, which tend to be notoriously ephemeral, short-lived, and prone to schisms and innovation. We think that the study of esotericism, intimately tied to the sociological notion of the "cultic milieu",\[^{39}\] offers an important site for theorising religious innovation, and that such theorisation ought to draw on recent debates in CSR about the role of ritualisation and experience in knitting small groups together,\[^{40}\] the role of charisma in small-scale interactions,\[^{41}\] or indeed the relation between "cognitively optimal" folk religiosity and esoteric concepts.\[^{42}\] The three articles in this issue, then, have barely scratched the surface of what cognitive approaches have to offer the study of esotericism.
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\[^{34}\] Sørensen, [RESPONSE].
\[^{35}\] See, for example, Sørensen’s book on magic: Sørensen, *A Cognitive Theory of Magic*, in which he applies Fauconnier and Turner’s conceptual blending theory. See also Sørensen’s early article on Theosophy: Sørensen, "Theosophy: Metaphors of the Subject" which uses the same conceptual metaphor theory by Lakoff and Johnson as DeConick applies in her article for this issue.
\[^{36}\] McCasley and Lawson, *Bringing Ritual to Mind*.
\[^{37}\] Whitehouse, *Modes of Religiosity*.
\[^{38}\] Schjoedt et al., ‘Cognitive Resource Depletion in Religious Interactions’.
\[^{39}\] Campbell, ‘The Cult, the Cultic Milieu, and Secularisation’.
\[^{40}\] Konvalinka et al., ‘Synchronized Arousal between Performers and Related Spectators in a Fire-Walking Ritual’.
\[^{41}\] Schjoedt et al., ‘The Power of Charisma’.
\[^{42}\] On this see Hammer, ‘Cognitively Optimal Religiosity: New Age as a Case Study’. 
The second limitation that we want to call attention to concerns method. The intention of this issue has been to highlight the usefulness of CSR approaches to the historical study of texts. However, both Asprem and Markússon end their articles by pointing to the need for developing an experimental dimension to test the hypotheses they develop. This, we think, is the next frontier. As the study of esotericism—and especially contemporary esotericism—continues to embrace social-scientific methods in order to access and study real-time groups and individuals, a golden opportunity is also created to expand the scope into, at the very least, semi-experimental, mixed methods approaches that blend field with laboratory. Promising paradigms for such studies are taking shape in CSR at the moment, and scholars of contemporary esotericism should make an effort to create a transfer of knowledge and skills to their own field. Only by initiating collaborative projects involving trained experimentalists as well as historians and anthropologists of esotericism can we start designing studies that test (to use examples from this Aries issue) how trained astral travel experiences might relate to hypnotically induced hallucinations, and what personality dispositions might characterise talented versus less talented astral travellers; or how real-time processing of opaque textual segments of The Book of the Law might differ between occultist and non-occultist readers. Experimental studies of esoteric practice may still be some way off, but aspiring to it is a worthy goal. Getting there requires a thorough effort to translate our research questions into a language that is amenable to theorising at the level of individual cognition, and to formulate precise hypotheses that can interest experimentalists in CSR.
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